## Camden Growth Centre Precincts Development Control Plan (DCP)

The following is an assessment of the proposed development's compliance with the controls in the DCP.

| Control                                   | Requirement   | Provided  | Compliance |
|---|---|---|------------|
| 2.2<br>Indicative<br>Layout Plan<br>(ILP) | Development to be undertaken generally in accordance with the ILP  Consistency with                             | The ILP identifies this site as being for medium density residential development and public roads. The proposed development is inconsistent with the ILP in respect to proposing a high density development.  Outstanding engineering | No         |
| Water Cycle<br>Management                 | Council's engineering specifications Compliance with the Precinct's Water Cycle Management and Ecology Strategy | issues raised with the applicant have not been resolved.  Outstanding engineering issues raised with the applicant have not been resolved.  | No         |
|   | 65%<br>Total nitrogen- 45%  | quality targets and environmental flow targets, proposing reductions for total suspended solids (80%) and total phosphorous (45%).  | No         |
| 2.3.3<br>Salinity and Soil<br>Management  | A salinity assessment and compliance with the DCP's Appendix B is required                                      | A salinity assessment and management plan was requested for the depth of development i.e. 2 levels of basement, however was not provided. This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | No         |

| Control   | Requirement  | Provided  | Compliance |
|---|--|---|------------|
|   | Sediment and erosion control measures must be implemented  | and erosion control plan has been submitted with the application.   | Yes        |
| 2.3.4<br>Aboriginal and<br>European<br>Heritage | DAs must consider the requirements of the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974. An Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit may be required were Aboriginal heritage will be impacted | The site is not mapped as having the potential for aboriginal relics or artefacts on site. Accordingly, an AHIP is not required in this instance. | NA         |
| 2.3.5 Native Vegetation and Ecology             | Council is to consider a number of matters when assessing proposed tree removal  | All existing vegetation is proposed to be removed from the site subject to DA/1335/2016.  | NA         |
|   | All existing indigenous trees are to be replaced where retention is not possible   | from the site subject to DA/1335/2016.  | NA         |
|   | The eradication and minimisation weed dispersal is to be considered  | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | Yes        |
|   | A suitable landscaping plan must be submitted  |   | Yes        |
| 2.3.6 Bush Fire Hazard Management               | Asset Protection zones are to be identified and comply with the NSW Rural Fire Service Publication 'Bush Fire Protection 2006'   | bushfire prone land and does not require asset protection zones.  | NA         |
| 2.3.7<br>Site<br>Contamination                  | A contamination assessment (and remediation action plan if required) must be submitted   | Please see comments made within State Environmental Planning Policy – No. 55 Remediation of Land.   | Yes        |
| 2.3.9<br>Noise                                  | An acoustic report, demonstrating that the Development Near Rail Corridors and Busy Roads – Interim Guideline (Department of Planning 2008) and Council's Environmental Noise  | application. Additional information was requested in respect to future traffic volumes, and Council's Environmental Noise Policy external amenity | No         |

| Control                | Requirement                         | Provided   | Compliance |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
|                        | Policy have been                    | issues have not been                                   |            |
|                        | considered, must be                 | satisfactorily addressed.                              |            |
|                        | submitted                           |  |            |
| 2.3.10                 | Odour impacts, and                  | This development site is                               | Yes        |
| Odour                  | the need for an odour               | not impacted by odour                                  |            |
| Assessment and Control | assessment, must be considered      | criterion exceeding 4.5OU. Council have                |            |
| Control                | Considered                          | conducted odour  |            |
|                        |                                     | modelling for the                                      |            |
|                        |                                     | Leppington area and                                    |            |
|                        |                                     | have accepted an                                       |            |
|                        |                                     | alternative odour criterion                            |            |
|                        |                                     | of the area of 4.50U for                               |            |
|                        |                                     | no more than 250 hours a year in lieu of 20U as per    |            |
|                        |                                     | the EPA's criteria, which                              |            |
|                        |                                     | would otherwise sterilize                              |            |
|                        |                                     | most of the Leppington                                 |            |
|                        |                                     | area from urban  |            |
|                        |                                     | redevelopment.   |            |
| 2.4<br>Demolition      | A number of demolition controls     | The demolition of existing structures on the site will | NA         |
| Demonition             | are to be                           | be undertaken subject to                               |            |
|                        | implemented                         | DA 1335/2016.  |            |
| 2.5                    | Buildings should be                 |  | Yes        |
| Crime                  | designed to overlook                | development will                                       |            |
| Prevention             | streets and other                   | overlook Ingleburn Road,                               |            |
| Through Environmental  | habitable areas                     | and future Road No. 1 and communal open                |            |
| Design                 |                                     | and communal open space areas.                         |            |
| (CPTED)                | The design of all                   | The proposed building                                  | No         |
| ,                      | development is to                   | entries accessed from the                              |            |
|                        | enhance public                      | communal open space                                    |            |
|                        | surveillance of public              | •  |            |
|                        | streets                             | activation and therefore                               |            |
|                        |                                     | decreases the perceived sense of safety.               |            |
|                        | Developments are to                 | The proposed   | Yes        |
|                        | avoid creating areas                | development will not                                   |            |
|                        | for concealment and                 | create concealment                                     |            |
|                        | blank walls facing the              | opportunities or blank                                 |            |
|                        | street Pedestrian and               | walls facing the street. This matter could be          | Yes        |
|                        | communal areas are                  | satisfied with a condition.                            | 163        |
|                        | to have sufficient                  |  |            |
|                        | lighting to secure a                |  |            |
|                        | high level of safety                |  |            |
|                        | All developments                    | The proposed   | Yes        |
|                        | are to incorporate CPTED principles | development is consistent with CPTED                   |            |
|                        | OI IED billioibles                  | principles. The  |            |
|                        |                                     | application was referred                               |            |
|                        |                                     | to Camden Local Area                                   |            |

| Control           | Requirement   | Provided  | Compliance |
|-------------------|---|---|------------|
|                   |   | Command who provided several recommendations in respect to surveillance, access control, territorial re-enforcement and space / activity management to improve the development.   |            |
| 2.6<br>Earthworks | Subdivision and building work is to be designed to respond to the natural topography of the site wherever possible, minimising the extent of cut and fill both during subdivision and when buildings are constructed. Finished levels must be integrated with nearby land and facilitate appropriate drainage | The proposed development will include cut and fill in order to facilitate drainage and reasonable building platforms. The proposed levels will still generally maintain the site's existing south west to north east fall pattern but adjusted to facilitate its urban redevelopment. The proposed levels will reasonably integrate with those of the adjoining properties. | Yes        |
|                   | All retaining walls must be identified, be designed by a practicing structural engineer and be of masonry construction  | Retaining walls have been indicated upon the development plans. The requirement for the design by a practicing engineer and be of masonry construction could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |
|                   | Retaining walls that front a public place are to be finished with an anti-graffiti coating  | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | Yes        |
|                   | A validation report must be submitted prior to the placement of any imported fill on the site   | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | Yes        |
|                   | Earth moved containing noxious weed material must be disposed of at an approved waste management facility   | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | Yes        |

| Control  | Requirement  | Provided  | Compliance |
|--|--|---|------------|
|  | and be transported in<br>compliance with the<br><i>Noxious Weeds Act</i><br>1993   |   |            |
| 3.1.1<br>Residential<br>Density  | All residential subdivision and building applications are to meet the minimum residential density requirements of the Precinct Plan and contribute to the Precinct's overall dwelling target.  The Precinct Plan's minimum residential density requirement for this site is 25 dw/ha | 130.58 dwellings per hectare  | Yes        |
|  | Residential development is to be generally consistent with the residential density structure as set out in the Residential Structure Figure in the relevant Precinct Schedule and the typical characteristics of the corresponding density band in Table 3-1                         | site for medium density residential development.  The proposed development is consistent with the typical characteristics for development with a density greater than 25 dwellings/ha in that the site is located within the Leppington Priority Precinct, consists of multi-storey residential flat buildings and presents an urban streetscape. | Yes        |
| 3.3.6 Access to Arterial Roads, Sub-Arterial Roads and Transit Boulevard | Vehicular access to<br>arterial roads, sub-<br>arterial roads and<br>transit boulevards<br>shown on the<br>Precinct Road<br>Hierarchy Figure<br>may only be via<br>another road  | As detailed earlier within this report, the application does not seek to obtain vehicular access from Ingleburn Road ( subarterial road ). Vehicular access to the site is dependent upon the delivery of future road No.1, which connects to Ingleburn Road via a temporary access road. The proposed development is located                     | NA         |

| Control  | Requirement   | Provided   | Compliance |
|--|---|--|------------|
|  |   | over a portion of the temporary access road and associated easement for access and services.                       |            |
| 3.4<br>Construction<br>Environmental<br>Management | A construction environmental management plan, consistent with the DCP, is to be submitted to Council or the accredited certifier prior to the issue of a construction certificate for subdivision | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |
|  | Applicants are to ensure that the management of construction activities is undertaken in accordance with the Camden DCP   | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |
|  | Trees are to be protected with fencing installed to conform to a tree protection zone that is consistent with current arboricultural industry standards   | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |
|  | A report outlining existing tree conditions must be submitted with DAs and include a tree retention management plan (where relevant). The report must be prepared by a suitably qualified person  | All existing vegetation is proposed to be removed from the site subject to DA/1335/2016.                           | NA         |
| 4.1.1<br>Site Analysis                             | A site analysis plan, consistent with the DCP, is required  | Insufficient site analysis information as per the requirements of the DCP has been submitted in support of the DA. | No         |
| 4.1.2<br>Cut and Fill                              | DAs are to illustrate and justify any proposed cut and fill   | The development provides adequate details  | Yes        |

| Control                                 | Requirement   | Provided   | Compliance |
|---|---|--|------------|
|   |   | of proposed cut and fill   |            |
|   | All retaining walls<br>are to be identified in<br>the DA and be a<br>minimum of 0.3m<br>from property<br>boundaries   | works.  Proposed retaining walls have been indicated. The location of retaining walls from property boundaries could be addressed with a condition.                  | Yes        |
| 4.1.3<br>Sustainable<br>Building Design | The majority of plant species are to be selected from Appendix C of the DCP   | Council staff have assessed the proposed species for landscaping and consider it to be acceptable.   | Yes        |
|   | BASIX compliance<br>must be achieved  | The applicant has submitted a valid BASIX Certificate in support of the DA that demonstrates that water, thermal comfort and energy requirements have been achieved. | Yes        |
|   | The design of dwellings is to maximise cross flow ventilation   |  | NA         |
|   | The orientation, location and position of dwellings, living rooms and windows is to maximise natural light penetration and minimize the need for mechanical heating and cooling | layout could be improved<br>to locate the basement<br>ramp underneath the<br>building to increase the  | No         |
|   | Outdoor clothes lines and drying areas are required for all dwellings and can be incorporated into communal areas for multi-dwelling and residential flat building development  | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |
|   | The design and construction of  | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.   | Yes        |

| Control   | Requirement  | Provided   | Compliance |
|---|--|--|------------|
|   | dwellings is, where possible, to make use of locally sourced and recycled and renewable materials  Roof and paving   | This matter could be   | Yes        |
|   | materials and colours are to minimise the retention of heat from the sun   | satisfied with a condition.  |            |
|   | The design of dwellings that require acoustic attenuation shall use, where possible, alternatives to air conditioning  | The proposed development necessitates the use of a range of acoustic attenuation measures including acoustic sealed frames and glazing. Air conditioning may however be required for apartments that require windows to be kept closed in order to achieve acoustic attenuation. | Yes        |
| 4.1.4<br>Salinity, Sodicity<br>and Aggressivity                                 | Salinity shall be considered during the siting, design and construction of dwellings. Compliance with a salinity management plan and Appendix B of the DCP must be achieved and certified upon completion of the development   | could be satisfied with a  | No         |
| 4.3.5 Controls for Residential Flat Buildings, Manor Homes and Shop Top Housing | Residential flat buildings are to be located on sites with a minimum street frontage of 30m, have direct frontage to an area of the public domain and not adversely impact upon the existing or future amenity of any adjoining land upon which residential development is permitted | The minimum street frontage proposed is 80.49m to Ingleburn Road and 80.485m to proposed Road No. 1. Public road frontages will be provided to all proposed buildings.   | Yes        |

| Control | Requirement   | Provided  | Compliance |
|---------|---|---|------------|
|         | Residential flat buildings are to be consistent with SEPP 65 and the DCP. Note that Table 4-10 takes precedence over SEPP 65 where there is an inconsistency  | The proposed development is inconsistent with several of the design quality principles as discussed within earlier sections of  | No         |
|         | A minimum of 10% of all apartments are to be designed as adaptable apartments in accordance with AS 4299  10 adaptable units required   | 8 adaptable units have been provided, with two adaptable units provided on the ground floor of each building ( A, B, C and D ). | No         |
|         | Where possible, adaptable dwellings are to be located on the ground floor. Adaptable dwellings located above the ground level of a building are only permitted where lift access is available within the building. The lifts access must provide access from the basement to allow access for people with disabilities. | are located upon the ground floor. Lift access to/from all floor levels from/to the proposed                                    | Yes        |
|         | DAs must be accompanied by certification from an accredited access consultant confirming that the adaptable dwellings are capable of being modified, when required by the occupant, to comply with AS 4299  | An accessibility report has been submitted in support of the DA.  | Yes        |
|         | Car parking allocated to adaptable dwellings must comply with the   | This matter could be satisfied with a condition.  | Yes        |

| Control | Requirement  | Provided   | Compliance |
|---------|--|--|------------|
|         | Australian Standards for disabled parking spaces                                     |  |            |
|         | A landscape plan is<br>to be submitted with<br>DAs for residential<br>flat buildings | A suitable landscaping plan has been submitted in support of this DA.  | Yes        |
|         | Site coverage of less than 50% (Max – 2851.5m²)                                      | Building A – 645.489m <sup>2</sup> Building B – 642.094m <sup>2</sup> Building C & D – 1437.847m <sup>2</sup> Total – 2725.43m <sup>2</sup> /5613m <sup>2</sup> =48.5 %  | Yes        |
|         | Landscaped area of<br>at least 30%<br>( Min – 1710.9m <sup>2</sup> )                 | 1704.199m <sup>2</sup> /5613m <sup>2</sup> = 30.3%   | Yes        |
|         | Communal open space area of at least 15% (Min – 855.45m <sup>2</sup> )               | Ground – 1074.851m <sup>2</sup><br>Total – 1074.851m <sup>2</sup> /<br>5613m <sup>2</sup> = 19.1%  | Yes        |
|         | Principal private open space of 10m² per dwelling with a minimum dimension of 2.5m   | This DCP control is overridden by Clause 6A of SEPP 65. Clause 6A provides that where there is an inconsistency between a DCP and the ADG regarding certain design matters, the DCP is of no effect. The proposed private open spaces for each apartment are generally | N/A        |
|         | Front setback of at least 6m with 1.5m balcony/articulation encroachments            | Ingleburn Road Building A  |            |
|         | permitted for the first<br>three storeys for 50%<br>of the façade length             | 1 – Wall 6m balconies<br>setback 5m. Balconies<br>span 13.013m/28.13m<br>(46.2%)   | Yes<br>Yes |
|         |  | 2 – Wall 6m balconies<br>setback 5m. Balconies<br>span 13.208m/28.13m<br>(46.9%)   | Yes        |
|         |  | 3 – Wall 6m balconies<br>setback 5m  | No         |
|         |  | Building B   |            |
|         |  | Ground – 6m  | Yes        |

| Control | Requirement   | Provided  | Compliance               |
|---------|---|---|--------------------------|
|         |   | 1 – Wall 6m balconies<br>setback 5m. Balconies<br>span 12.968m/28.13m<br>(46.1%)  | Yes                      |
|         |   | 2 – Wall 6m balconies<br>setback 5m. Balconies<br>space 13.361m/28.137m<br>(47.4%)<br>3 – Wall 6m balconies   | Yes                      |
|         | Corner lots require a secondary street setback of at least  |   |                          |
|         | 6m  | Ground – 6m<br>1 – 6m<br>2 – 6m<br>3 – 6m   | Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes |
|         | For buildings 3 storeys and above, at least 12m separation distance is required for habitable rooms and balconies | This DCP control is overridden by Clause 6A of SEPP 65. Clause 6A provides that where there is an inconsistency between a DCP and the ADG regarding certain design matters, the DCP is of no effect. The proposed development does not achieve the minimum separation distances as per the ADG requirements. Details of the developments building separation distances are contained in the ADG compliance table attached with this report. | N/A                      |
|         | Residential flat<br>buildings in the R3<br>zone require;  | Carparking spaces   | No                       |
|         | Carparking spaces   | Residents   |                          |
|         | Residents required - 102  | 165   |                          |
|         | Visitors required - 19  | Visitors – 24   |                          |
|         | Total required – 121  | Total 189   |                          |
|         | Bicycle spaces required – 32  | Bicycle spaces - 28   |                          |

| Control | Requirement  | Provided | Compliance   |
|---------|--|----------|--|
|         | Car parking spaces are to have minimum dimensions of 2.5m x 5.2m and aisle widths must comply with AS 2890.1 |          | No, but the development complies with the requirements of AS 2890 and is considered acceptable in this instance. |